Observation of Ising spin-nematic order and its close relationship to the superconductivity in FeSe single crystals
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Superconducting FeSe single crystals of (001) orientation are synthesized via a hydrothermal ion-release route. An Ising spin-nematic order is identified by our systematic measurements of in-plane angular-dependent magnetoresistance (AMR) and static magnetization. The turn-on temperature of anisotropic AMR signifies the Ising spin-nematic ordering temperature $T_{\text{sn}}$, below which a twofold rotational symmetry is observed in the iron plane. A downward curvature appears below $T_{\text{an}}$ in the temperature dependence of static magnetization for the weak in-plane magnetic field as reported previously. Remarkably, we find a universal linear relationship between $T_c$ and $T_{\text{sn}}$ among various superconducting samples, indicating that the spin nematicity and the superconductivity in FeSe have a common microscopic origin.
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The tetragonal $\beta$-FeSe was reported to show bulk superconducting transition at $T_c \sim 8\,\text{K}$ [1,2]. It is notable that its $T_c$ can be enhanced to 36.7 K under high pressure [3–6] and even to $\sim 48\,\text{K}$ via charge injection [7]. Also, a higher $T_c$ than the binary FeSe is always achieved in ion/cluster intercalated iron selenides. For example, the superconductivity with $T_c \sim 42\,\text{K}$ has been realized in (Li$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$)OFe$_{1-y}$Se$_y$ [8], where the two dimensionality of the electronic structure of the iron plane is enhanced due to the expansion in the interlayer separation [9,10]. In contrast, the bulk FeSe displays the maximum interlayer compactness in the iron-based superconductors and thus the lowest $T_c$.

In FeSe superconductors, no antiferromagnetic long-range order was reported to exist in ambient pressure, but the presence of the rotational symmetry breaking in the electronic structure of the iron plane and its implication for superconducting pairing have been suggested [11–17]. It has been argued that the tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structure transition at $T_s \sim 90\,\text{K}$ is driven by the ferro-orbital ordering with unequal occupancies of the 3$d_{x^2}$/3$d_{yz}$ orbitals [18,19]. However, the structural transition temperature $T_s$ remains nearly the same for various samples showing different $T_c$’s (see below in Fig. 4). Recent neutron scattering measurements [20–22] suggest that the electron pairing for the superconductivity is closely related to the stripe-like $(\pi,0)$ antiferromagnetic (AFM) spin fluctuations and a sharp spin resonance is observed in the superconducting phase. Therefore, the key issue turns out to be whether any peculiar order of the spin origin showing the rotational symmetry breaking exists and how it is related to the superconductivity in FeSe.

Here we report the presence of an Ising spin-nematic order in our FeSe single crystal samples based on the measurements of angular-dependent magnetoresistance (AMR) and static magnetization. The onset temperature $T_{\text{an}}$ of this nematic order strongly depends on the superconducting transition temperature ($T_c$), and spans a wide range from far below to beyond the structural transition temperature ($T_s$). Our results suggest that the spin nematicity is driven by strongly frustrated spins with the $(\pi,0)$ stripe fluctuations predominating in bulk FeSe. Importantly, a universal linear relationship between $T_c$ and $T_{\text{sn}}$ is found among various superconducting samples, indicating that the spin nematicity and the superconductivity in FeSe have a common microscopic origin.

In order to identify the electronic correlations in the iron plane crucial for the superconductivity, sizable FeSe crystal samples of (001) plane orientation with different $T_c$’s are essential. Although the samples of the (001) orientation can be obtained by, e.g., vapor transport growth, it is a very time-consum ing process. On the other hand, the high-temperature growth by flux-free floating-zone or flux method only produces the samples with (101) orientation. Most recently, by a high-efficient hydrothermal ion-release/introduction technique, we have successfully synthesized large FeSe single crystal samples of the (001) orientation. The details of sample preparation have been reported in Ref. [23], similar to the ion/clustering exchange growth of large (Li$_{0.84}$Fe$_{0.16}$)OFe$_{0.98}$Se$_2$ single crystals [10]. Via this hydrothermal process, the superconducting FeSe single crystal can be derived from the insulating K$_{0.4}Fe_{1.6}Se_2$ matrix. Namely, the interlayer K ions in the matrix are completely released and the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ ordered vacant Fe sites $\sim 20\%$ in amount in the Fe$_{0.8}$Se$_2$ layers are occupied by introduced Fe ions. The end FeSe single crystal naturally inherits the original (001) orientation of the matrix, in which no trace of K is detected by energy-dispersive x ray. Powder x-ray diffraction confirms the pure tetragonal $\beta$-FeSe phase with the lattice parameters $a = 3.7725(1)\,\text{Å}$ and $c = 5.5247(2)\,\text{Å}$ for the sample of $T_c \sim 7.6\,\text{K}$ [23]. The magnetic measurements were conducted on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL1 system of a small remnant field 4 mOe. The
electrical resistivity and the angular-dependent magnetoresistance were measured on a Quantum Design PPMS-9. For a typical hydrothermal crystal sample displaying the (001) orientation, the bulk superconductivity at $T_c \sim 7.6$ K is confirmed by the magnetic and electrical resistivity measurements, shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). The high superconducting quality is demonstrated by the sharp diamagnetic transitions as well as the 100% diamagnetic shielding, although the sample shows a crystal mosaic of approximately 5° in terms of the full width at half maximum of x-ray rocking curve [23]. In this work, we also performed similar measurements on a flux-grown FeSe crystal sample of (101) orientation exhibiting a higher $T_c$ [24]. Its superconductivity is shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). The temperature dependences of the normal state resistivity in the whole measuring temperature range for the two typical samples are displayed in Figs. 1(e) and 1(f), respectively. All the $T_c$ values here are determined by the onset temperatures of the diamagnetic transition, defined as that where the shielding and Meissner signals clearly separate from one another. We find that the $T_c$ value of FeSe is sensitive to the carrier concentrations of electron and hole bands from our Hall measurements (to be reported elsewhere).

With our single crystal samples, the angular-dependent magnetoresistance measurements are performed. We fixed the current direction and varied the angle ($\theta$) between the directions of the external field ($H$) and the current ($I$), with $\theta = 0°$ corresponding to $H \perp I$.
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**FIG. 1.** Temperature dependences of the static magnetic susceptibility, corrected for demagnetization factor, and the electrical resistivity for the two typical FeSe single crystal samples with (001) and (101) orientations. (a) and (c) The data of magnetic susceptibility; (b) and (d) the electrical resistivity near the superconducting transitions; (e) and (f) the resistivity up to 250 K. The magnetic measurements were performed under $H = 1$ Oe.

![Temperature dependences of the angular-dependent magnetoresistance](image3.png)

**FIG. 2.** Temperature dependences of the angular-dependent magnetoresistance showing the twofold rotational symmetry below $\sim 55$ K (the upper right) and $\sim 100$ K (the lower right). (a) The FeSe crystal sample of (001) orientation with $T_c \sim 7.6$ K. (b) the sample of (101) orientation with $T_c \sim 10.0$ K. The $\theta$ is the angle between the directions of the external field ($H$) and the current ($I$), with $\theta = 0°$ corresponding to $H \perp I$.
by

\[ \sigma = (S_i S_{i+x} - S_i S_{i+y}), \]

where \( S_{i+x} \) and \( S_{i+y} \) stand for the nearest-neighbor spins of the spin \( S_i \) on the square lattice, respectively. Actually, such Ising spin nematicity is argued to exist in the strongly frustrated limit of the quantum frustrated spin-1 Heisenberg model with nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic coupling and next-nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic coupling \([12,16,26–29]\). Consequently, the appearance of the twofold anisotropy in AMR for the (001) sample is well explicable by the temperature-dependent anisotropic scattering of the charge carriers caused by the spin-nematic order below \( T_{sn} \). For the AMR measurement on the sample of (101) orientation, the iron plane component of the magnetic field takes effect. Considering the \((\pi,0)\) stripe spin fluctuations reported for FeSe superconductors, we argue that the maxima of the anisotropic AMR points in the crystallographic \( a \) direction with antiferromagnetic correlations and the minima in the \( b \) direction with ferromagnetic correlations.

Moreover, we have also hydrothermally synthesized another FeSe single crystal of (001) orientation, and its characteristic temperatures are determined as \( T_c \approx 6.8 \) K and \( T_{sn} \approx 37.5 \) K, shown in Fig. 3. The difference in \( T_c \) value results from the difference in concentrations of electron and hole bands on the basis of our Hall resistance measurements (to be reported elsewhere). When summarizing all the data of our three single crystal samples, we found a remarkable linear relationship between \( T_c \) and \( T_{sn} \) (the dotted straight line in Fig. 4). The fitting gives rise to an expression

\[ T_c = \alpha T_{sn} + T_{min}, \]

with \( \alpha \approx 0.052 \) and \( T_{min} \approx 4.8 \) K. Moreover, we also collect three other sets of \( T_c \) and \( T_{sn} \) given by either the onset temperature of MR or the cusp temperature of the in-plane magnetic magnetization on FeSe single crystal samples of the (001) orientation \([25,30,31]\). All the collected \( T_c \) and \( T_{sn} \) well satisfy this linear relationship as well. Meanwhile, the structural transition temperatures \( (T_s') \) by the x-ray or neutron diffractions on various FeSe samples of different \( T_c' \)'s \([19,20,22,32–36]\). The experimental uncertainty for the \( T_c \) values of our crystal samples, defined as the temperatures where the shielding and Meissner signals clearly separate from one another, is estimated as \( \pm 0.25 \) K from the signal responses. The \( T_c \)'s have an estimated error \( < \pm 5 \) K, which is the temperature sampling interval.

The value of the spin-nematic ordering temperature \( T_{sn} \) varies with \( T_c \) in a wide range from far below to beyond \( T_c \). Therefore, the superconductivity and the spin nematicity are correlated by the stripe AFM spin fluctuations, rather than the structural phase transition or the orbital ordering. Interestingly, this universal linear relationship allows the spin-nematic ordering to coincide with the superconducting transition at \( T_{min}/(1 - \alpha) \approx 5.1 \) K, which is worthy of a further study.

It needs to be emphasized that, for the FeSe samples with \( T_c' \)'s around 9.5 K, both the spin nematicity transition and the ferro-orbital ordering/structure transition happen to occur in the vicinity of \( \sim 90 \) K, as shown in Fig. 4. So it is very difficult to distinguish experimentally these different ordering transitions in such samples. However, our specific samples cover the \( T_c \) values from 6.8 to 10.6 K, so that the spin-nematic ordering temperature spans from 37.5 to 120 K, well separated from the structural transition temperature \( \sim 90 \) K. Therefore, our results have disentangled the essential role played by the spin-nematic ordering in the superconducting pairing, resolving a long-standing puzzle in bulk FeSe superconductors.

In conclusion, we have experimentally evidenced the emergence of the spin-nematic ordering below \( T_{sn} \) in the normal state of the superconducting FeSe single crystals. The universal linear relationship between \( T_c \) and \( T_{sn} \) has been found, which spans a wide temperature range. Our results have shed new light on the mechanism of unconventional superconductivity in FeSe, including its drastic enhancement of the superconducting transition temperature under pressure when the nematicity is suppressed.

Note added. We find that the \( T_c \) (\( \sim 10.6 \) K) and \( T_{sn} \) (\( \sim 120 \) K) of our FeSe film, newly prepared by pulsed laser deposition \([37]\), also follow the universal linear relationship found in this work.
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